



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/595,360	06/15/2000	George L. Bees	FOM-119.01	9301
25181 75	90 12/17/2002			
FOLEY HOAG, LLP PATENT GROUP, WORLD TRADE CENTER WEST 155 SEAPORT BLVD			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, TUAN M	
BOSTON, MA	02110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2828	
			DATE MAILED: 12/17/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			en			
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	09/595,360	BEES, GEORGE	L			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tuan M Nguyen	2828				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	uth the correspondence ad	iaress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	s6(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thin ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timel NTHS from the mailing date of this c BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Ja</u>	<u>uly 2002</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under to Disposition of Claims	<u>-</u>	• •	ne merits is			
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		Pauly	7			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.		janoj				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		PAUL IP	/ABBINITO			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Application Papers TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on			er.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the second	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application has b	peen received.	,,			
Attachment(s)	- p. 10.11.	. 00 .== 5,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 7.	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No Informal Patent Application (PT				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/595,360 Page 2

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: in the specification applicant state that the illustrated control module (34) is NOT microprocessors based, however those skilled in the will recognize that the control processor may be a microprocessor based device, including for example, a personal computer (PC), SUN workstation, laptop or handled computer including personal digital assistant (PDA), connected through a network or in a stand-alone capacity, and functioning as described herein, without departing from the scope if the invention (page 03) which render the specification confusing.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 09/595,360

Art Unit: 2828

Claims 1-7 and 9-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oliver et al (6,442,181) in view of Richardson (4,803,378).

With respect to claims 1 and 9, Oliver et al disclose the gas discharge laser comprising Power supply (200), the switches (Q1, Q2, Q3) the input connection to power supply and the output connection to a capacitor (42) for storing energy to be delivered to pulse discharge system, the control board (204) is acting as a sensor for monitoring the voltage cross the capacitor, the digital voltage command (210) is acting as a controller to responsive to the voltage across the capacitor, note col. 8 line 25 to col. 10 line 25, see figs 7a-7b. However Oliver does not discloses a keep up supply responsive to the voltage cross the capacitor and delivering energy to the capacitor to maintain the voltage at a predetermined level. Whereas Richardson discloses a means is included for sensing a residual reverse polarity charge on the capacitor after generation of a pulse and for using the energy stored in it to assist in recharging the capacitor for the next pulse, note col. 1 line 35 to col. 4 line 54, see fig. 1. For the advantageous of a gas discharge laser, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Oliver with the sensing a residual reverse polarity charge on the capacitor as taught or suggested by Richardson.

With respect to claims 2-3, Oliver et al disclose the switching mechanism includes an inductor arranged for storing energy and time constant that less than a pulse rate associated with the pulse discharge system, note cols. 8-9, see figs. 7a-7b.

With respect to claim 4, Oliver et al disclose the board control (204) and the digital voltage command (210), see figs. 7a-b.

Application/Control Number: 09/595,360

Art Unit: 2828

With respect to claim 5, Oliver et al disclose the voltage divider (R1, R2) connected in parallel to the capacitor (42), see figs. 7a-b.

With respect to claim 6, Oliver et al disclose the pulse discharge driven system includes a laser (10), see fig. 1.

With respect to claim 7, Richardson disclose the invention is particularly developed for use in supplying pulse to a microwave tube such as a magnetron in a radar transmitter, note col. 1.

With respect to claims 10-11, Oliver et al disclose the circuit includes inductor (L1), capacitor (42) and switching mechanism (Q1, Q2, Q3) and power supply (200), see figs. 7a-b.

With respect to claims 12-16, Oliver et al disclose a gas discharge laser includes main power supply (200), capacitor (42), inductor (L1), switches (Q1, Q2, Q3), voltage divider (R1, R2) is parallel with capacitor and measuring a voltage at a point along the voltage divider, note col. 8 line 25 to col. 10 line 25, see figs 7a-7b.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claim 8 is objected to as being dependent upon to rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent from including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claim.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-16 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Citation Of The Pertinent References

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon us considered pertinent to applicant's disclose.

The patent to Oliver et al (US patent 6,477,193) discloses extreme repetition rate gas discharge laser with improved blower motor.

Communication Information

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan M Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 306-0247. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Ip can be reached on (703) 308-3098. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 306-5511 for regular communications and (703) 306-5511 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-3329.

Paul Ip

Paul

Art unit 2828

TMN

December 7, 2002